

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/713,651	SHAPIRO, MICHAEL W.	
	Examiner J. Derek Ruttent	Art Unit 2192	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowable

(1) J. Derek Ruttent.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert P. Lord, Reg. No. 46,479.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 April 2007

**Time:** 3:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

101

Claims discussed:

22

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
The examiner contacted Mr. Lord to discuss a possible examiner's amendment for claim 22 in order to overcome 101 issues and move the case to allowance. The claim appears to describe functional descriptive material per se, and would require further recitation of either hardware components to provide a basis as a system, or an embodiment on a computer readable storage medium to provide a basis as a product. Mr. Lord agreed to consider the issue and respond at a later date. On 4/9/07, the examiner received a faxed proposed amendment; however, the proposed amendment was not sufficient to overcome the issues. On 4/10/07, the examiner called Mr. Lord and proposed an amendment to the preamble to include "A tracing framework stored on a computer readable memory." Mr. Lord agreed to this proposed amendment.